

Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau

30 South 17th Street • Suite 1500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4007 (215)568-2371 • FAX (215)564-4328 • www.pcrb.com

August 2, 2019

VIA SERFF

The Honorable Jessica Altman Insurance Commissioner Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Insurance Department 11311 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Attention: Michael McKinney, Actuarial Supervisor, Property & Casualty Bureau

RE: PCRB Filing No. 300 – Proposed Effective April 1, 2020

Proposed Classification Change – Revise the Scope of Code 676, Sheet Metal Installation to Allow Payroll Developed in Shop Fabrication Work to be Separately

Rated to Code 454, Sheet Metal Products Fabrication, Shop

Dear Commissioner Altman:

Resulting from a staff review and on behalf of the members of the Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau (PCRB), we hereby submit the proposed filing for revisions to the Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Manual of Rules, Classifications and Rating Values for Workers' Compensation and Employers Liability Insurance (Basic Manual). These revisions are proposed to take effect for policies with effective dates on or after April 1, 2020. This proposed effective date coincides with changes resulting from PCRB's normal annual comprehensive loss cost revision, which will be filed with the Insurance Department at a later date. This coordination consolidates necessary changes that our members and other constituents must make to policies, forms and systems so that they occur once annually. This proposal will not impact the PCRB loss cost for any classification, but will impact the premium of effected employers.

PCRB Code 676 was created effective for new and renewal business as of January 1, 1933. Code 676 applies to the installation of sheet metal products as specified in the Basic Manual Code 676 Underwriting Guide. Basic Manual language specifies that Code 676 must be applied to both the shop fabrication and the installation payroll developed by an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products.

The PCRB performed a study of Code 676 with three objectives: 1) to determine the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reclassifying the operations assigned thereto from Code 676 to another existing classification; 2) absent discontinuing Code 676, to determine the feasibility of lifting the Code 676 classification restriction so that an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products may be classified to both the applicable shop classification (Code 454) and Code 676; and 3) to identify the types of businesses classified to Code 676 so that, in the absence of discontinuing the classification, staff can more clearly define its scope.

Staff reviewed the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reassigning the operations assigned to either Code 651, Carpentry - Commercial Buildings, (for work performed on commercial or

The Honorable Jessica Altman Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 2, 2019 Page 2

industrial structures) or to Code 652, Carpentry – Residential (for work performed on residential structures i.e., one- or two-family detached houses, townhouses or row houses or buildings designed primarily for multiple occupancy (e.g., apartments) three stories or less in height). Pursuant to analyses of historical experience exhibits and statistical tests, and a comparison of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs for Codes 676, 651 and 652, staff determined that it was not feasible to discontinue Code 676 and these considered reassignments. The approved April 1, 2019 loss costs for Codes 676, 651 and 652 are \$3.46, \$4.47 and \$6.73, respectively. Reassignment from Code 676 to Code 651 would result in a rating value increase of 29.2 percent and reassignment from Code 676 to Code 652 results in a rating value increase of 94.5 percent. The approved April 1, 2019 maximum permissible rating value increase for an Industry 2 classification is 15 percent.

The PCRB does propose that for policies with effective dates of April 1, 2020 or later, Code 676 be restructured so that an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products may separately rate payroll developed in the shop fabrication work to Code 454. The approval of Code 454 for such employers represents a rating value decrease of 45.1 percent on the basis of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs (\$3.46 for Code 676 and \$1.90 for Code 454). Staff recommends adopting this approach even though this decrease exceeds the approved April 1, 2019 maximum permissible rating value decrease for an Industry 2 classification (35 percent). The reasoning for this is that it will bring Code 676 in line with the majority of the PCRB's construction or erection classifications, which typically do not include fabrication work, while also recognizing the differences in process and hazard between fabrication and installation/erection operations. It will also bring the classification procedure for impacted employers in line with the procedure for other metal working classes that allow fabrication and installation work to be separately rated.

Staff found that though limited, the Basic Manual Code 676 Underwriting Guide is comprehensive in terms of the types of employers classified thereto. Thus, staff is not proposing any additions to amendments to the Code 676 Underwriting Guide.

Details of this filing are provided in PCRB's June 12, 2019 staff memorandum and June 12, 2019 executive summary, which are included as part of this filing. The memorandum and executive summary describe and explain the reasoning for the proposed revisions to the Basic Manual language. The memorandum, executive summary and proposals were reviewed by the PCRB Classification and Rating Committee at the Committee's June 12, 2019 meeting.

Thank you in advance for your review and attention to this filing. The PCRB is pleased to answer any questions that you or the Insurance Department staff may have regarding these proposals.

Sincerely,

William V. Taylor President

Enclosure: June 12, 2019 Staff Memorandum and June 12, 2019 Executive Summary

Revisions to Section 2



Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau

30 South 17th Street • Suite 1500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4007 (215)568-2371 • FAX (215)564-4328 • www.pcrb.com

TO: Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau Classification and Rating Committee

FROM: Robert Ferrante, Senior Classification Analyst – Technical Services

DATE: June 12, 2019

RE: Executive Summary: Class Study Report Code 676, Sheet Metal Installation

PCRB Code 676 was created effective for new and renewal business as of January 1, 1933. Code 676 applies to the installation of sheet metal products specified in the Code 676 Underwriting Guide from the Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Manual of Rules, Classifications and Rating Values (Basic Manual) i.e., metal downspouts, gutters and metal ceilings, and to the installation of sheet metal products not otherwise classified. Basic Manual language specifies that Code 676 must be applied to both the shop fabrication and the installation payroll developed by an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products.

The PCRB study of Code 676 had three objectives: 1) to determine the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reclassifying the operations assigned thereto from Code 676 to another existing classification, 2) absent discontinuing Code 676, to determine the feasibility of lifting the Code 676 classification restriction so that an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products may be classified to both the applicable shop classification (Code 454, Sheet Metal Products Fabrication, N.O.C, Shop Only) and Code 676 and 3) to identify the types of businesses classified to Code 676 so that, in the absence of discontinuing the classification, staff can more clearly define its scope.

Staff reviewed the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reassigning the operations assigned thereto from Code 676 to Code 651, Carpentry - Commercial Buildings, (for work performed on commercial or industrial structures) and from Code 676 to Code 652, Carpentry - Residential (for work performed on residential structures i.e., one- or two-family detached houses, townhouses or row houses or buildings designed primarily for multiple occupancy (e.g., apartments) three stories or less in height). Pursuant to analyses of historical experience exhibits and statistical tests, and a comparison of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs for Codes 676, 651 and 652, staff determined that it was not feasible to discontinue Code 676 and reassign those operations from Code 676 to Code 651 and Code 652.

The PCRB proposes that for policies with effective dates of April 1, 2020 or later, Code 676 be restructured so that an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products may separately rate payroll developed in the shop fabrication work to Code 454. The approval of Code 454 for such employers represents a rating value decrease of 45.1% on the basis of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs (\$3.46 for Code 676 and \$1.90 for Code 454). Staff recommends adopting this approach even though this decrease exceeds the approved April 1, 2019 maximum permissible rating value decrease for an Industry 2 classification (35%) because it will bring Code 676 in line with the majority of the PCRB's construction or erection classifications, which typically do not include fabrication work, will recognize the differences in process and hazard between fabrication and installation/erection operations, and will bring the classification procedure for impacted employers in line with procedure for other metal working classes that allow fabrication and installation work to be separately rated.



Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau

30 South 17th Street • Suite 1500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4007 (215)568-2371 • FAX (215)564-4328 • www.pcrb.com

TO: Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau (PCRB) Classification and Rating Committee

FROM: Robert Ferrante, Senior Classification Analyst – Technical Services

DATE: June 12, 2019

RE: Class Study Report – Code 676, Sheet Metal Installation

INTRODUCTION AND CLASS HISTORY

Code 676 was created effective for new and renewal business as of January 1, 1933. Code 676 applies to the installation of sheet metal products specified in the Code 676 Underwriting Guide from the Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Manual of Rules, Classifications and Rating Values (Basic Manual) i.e., metal downspouts, gutters and metal ceilings, and to the installation of sheet metal products not otherwise classified. Basic Manual language specifies that Code 676 must be applied to both the shop fabrication and the installation payroll developed by an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products. This procedure applies whether or not the employer has separate shop fabrication and installation crews, and regardless of the percentage of the employer's overall operation that is attributable to shop fabrication versus installation. PCRB procedure prohibits a division of payroll between Code 676 and 659, Roofing, for payroll developed in operations conducted at the same job site or location.

The Code 676 scope and Basic Manual language have been revised periodically since its inception. Notable revisions include the following:

- Effective for new and renewal business as of June 30, 1950 and later, the installation of warm air heating systems, ventilating systems and other duct work is reassigned from Code 676 to Code 663, Plumbing.¹
- Effective for new and renewal business as of September 1, 1977 and concurrent with the creation of Code 659, the Code 676 classification description is revised to specify that there is no payroll division (N.P.D.) permitted between Code 659 and Code 676.
- Effective for new and renewal business as of April 1, 1983 and later, the Code 676 classification description is revised to specify that there is no payroll division (N.P.D.) with Code 454, Sheet Metal Products Fabrication, N.O.C., Shop, and to include the phraseology "This classification includes incidental shop work."
- "N.P.D." is removed from the Code 676 and other classification descriptions due to perceived misinterpretations of that phraseology effective October 1, 1984.
- Effective for new and renewal business as of February 1, 1999 and later, the phraseology "This classification includes incidental shop work" is removed from the Code 676 classification description and replaced with "Code 676 shall be assigned to both the shop and the erection or installation payroll developed by an insured engaged in both the shop fabrication of sheet metal products and the erection or installation thereof."

Staff was prompted to conduct this study as a result of several PCRB reviews of individual employer classifications. In the course of those reviews, staff was compelled to assign Code 676 to an employer engaged in the both the fabrication and installation of sheet metal products on the basis of the existing

_

¹ Such operations are presently classified to Code 664, Heating, Ventilating or Air Conditioning Contractor, which was created effective for new and renewal business as of October 1, 192 and later.

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 2 of 7

Basic Manual language, even though in certain instances the employer was principally engaged in shop fabrication. The Basic Manual defines the term "principally engaged" as the business activity which generates more than 50 percent of an employer's overall revenue. It was observed that Rule IV.C.1.a. (under "Object of the Classification Procedure"), found in Section 1, Page 17 of the Basic Manual states that "The object of the classification procedure is to assign the one basic classification which best describes each distinct business enterprise of the insured within Pennsylvania..." and that PCRB staff in most cases determines an employer's field of business to be the manner in which the employer is principally engaged. Staff opined that the manner in which Code 676 is applied in instances where an employer is principally engaged in shop fabrication appears to be in conflict with the basic object of the classification procedure. Staff also noted that the scope of Code 676 is not clearly defined in the Basic Manual, given the limited number of entries contained in the Code 676 Underwriting Guide and the fact that certain sheet metal installation operations are assigned to classifications other than Code 676 (e.g., the installation of aluminum siding to either Code 651, Carpentry – Commercial Structures, or Code 652, Carpentry – Residential). With these observations in mind, staff identified the following objectives to the Code 676 study:

- To determine the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reclassifying the operations assigned thereto from Code 676 to another existing classification.
- Absent discontinuing Code 676, the objective becomes to determine the feasibility of lifting the Code 676 classification restriction so that an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products may be classified to both the applicable shop classification (Code 454) and Code 676.
- To identify the types of businesses classified to Code 676 so that, in the absence of discontinuing the classification, staff can more clearly define its scope and create a more comprehensive Code 676 Underwriting Guide.

This is the first time the PCRB has studied Code 676.

CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURE IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS

As part of this analysis staff reviewed the classification procedure in effect in states under the jurisdiction of the National Council on Compensation Insurance, Inc. (NCCI), and in the independent Bureau states of New York (under the jurisdiction of the New York Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau (NYCIRB)) and California (under the jurisdiction of the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau (WCIRB)). PCRB Code 676 is equivalent in part to NCCI Code 5535, Sheet Metal Work – Installation & Drivers. One notable difference is that NCCI Code 5535 does not contemplate fabrication work – the NCCI Code 5535 phraseology states "...The manufacturing of sheet metal products at a shop to be separately rated..." The NCCI assigns the fabrication of sheet metal products to NCCI Code 3076, Sheet Metal Products Mfg., which is equivalent in part to PCRB Code 454. The NCCI Code 5535 phraseology also suggests that this classification is somewhat broader in scope than PCRB Code 676. NCCI Code 5535 includes the installation of sheet metal siding on the exterior of a steel frame structure. The PCRB classifies such work to Code 651. Both the PCRB and the NCCI classify the installation of aluminum siding to each jurisdiction's respective commercial and residential carpentry classifications (i.e., Code 651 or Code 652 for the PCRB, and Code 5403, Carpentry – N.O.C., Code 5645, Carpentry – Construction of Residential Dwellings Not Exceeding Three Stories in Height, for the NCCI).

Both the NYCIRB and WCIRB use classification Code 5538, Sheet Metal Work – Erection, Installation or Repair – Shop and Outside, which is equivalent in part to PCRB Code 676. As with Code 676, NYCIRB and WCIRB Code 5538 includes both the shop fabrication and installation payroll developed by an employer engaged in both the fabrication and installation of sheet metal products. The NYCIRB and WCIRB Code 5538 phraseology suggests that this classification is also somewhat broader in scope than

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 3 of 7

PCRB Code 676, contemplating (as does NCCI Code 5535) the installation of sheet metal siding on the exterior of a steel frame structure.

FILE REVIEW

Staff performed a file by file review of the 721 employers on the PCRB's database reporting payroll to Code 676. The file by file review divided those employers into the study groups shown in the table below.

Study Group	Description	No. of Employers
1	Principally installs downspouts and/or gutters (no shop	157
	fabrication)	
2	Principally fabricates and installs downspouts and/or gutters –	9
	separate shop and field crews	
3	Principally fabricates and installs downspouts and/or gutters –	25
	interchanging shop and field crews	
4	Principally fabricates and installs metal ceilings – separate	1
	shop and field crews	
5	Principally fabricates and installs metal ceilings – interchanging	1
	shop and field crew	
6	Sheet metal installation, N.O.C. (no shop fabrication)	68
7	Sheet metal fabrication and installation, N.O.C. – separate	14
	shop and field crews	
8	Sheet metal fabrication and installation, N.O.C. – interchanging	47
	shop and field crews	
9	Misclassified	86
10	Not yet reviewed	166
11	No Current Coverage/Unable to Determine	147

The employers in Study Group 1 are principally engaged in the installation of downspouts and/or gutters. Principally engaged is defined as the business activity that generates more than 50% of an employer's overall revenue. The employers in this study group do not perform any shop fabrication work, though some of the employers will fabricate downspouts and/or gutters at the downspout or gutter installation job site. The employers in Study Group 2 are principally engaged in the shop fabrication and installation of downspouts and/or gutters, and have separate shop and field crews. The employers in Study Group 3 are principally engaged in the shop fabrication and installation of downspouts and/or gutters. These employers' staffs interchange between the shop and field work. The employers in Study Groups 4 and 5 are principally engaged in the shop fabrication and installation of metal ceilings. The employer in Study Group 4 has separate shop and field crews and the employer in Study Group 5 uses an interchanging crew to perform shop and field work. The employers in Study Group 6 will install a variety of sheet metal products but are not principally engaged in the installation of a particular sheet metal product and do not perform any shop fabrication work. The employers in Study Group 7 are engaged in the shop fabrication and installation of a variety of sheet metal products with no principal sheet metal product, and have separate shop and field crews. The employers in Study Group 8 are engaged in the shop fabrication and installation of a variety of sheet metal products with no principal sheet metal product, and employees interchange between the shop and field work.

The 86 employers in Study Group 9 were determined to be misclassified to Code 676. The employers in Study Group 9 were reclassified from Code 676 to each employer's applicable classification(s). The employers in Study Group 10 were not assigned to one of the field of business study groups (i.e., Study Groups 1 through 6) either because the employer did not respond to the PCRB's Code 676 study Description of Operations Questionnaire or because no unit statistical data was reported to the PCRB for the employer. PCRB studies have historically excluded employers who have reported no unit statistical

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 4 of 7

data at the time of the study from the file by file review because those employers do not factor in a study's historical experience analysis or statistical testing. PCRB staff will prospectively review the files in Study Group 10 as warranted. The employers in Study Group 11 had no record of current compensation coverage at the time of the file by file review, and had no information in their PCRB files that would allow staff to slot them to one of the field of business study groups.

Staff developed several Code 676 historical experience exhibits for this study. The historical experience exhibits are based on the PCRB's April 1, 2019 loss cost filing as approved by the Pennsylvania Insurance Commissioner. Only those historical experience exhibits cited in the report are attached. The exhibits are attached in the sequence in which they are first cited. The indicated loss costs found at the bottom of the historical experience exhibits have not been loaded with the loss based Commonwealth assessments (e.g., the Subsequent Injury Fund, the Supersedeas Fund and the Administrative Fund) or the revenue neutral plans (e.g., the Merit Rating Plan and the Certified Safety Committee Credit Program) that are part of the PCRB's April 1, 2019 loss cost filing. Payroll figures in the exhibits are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

In addition to historical experience exhibits, this study includes paired t-test analyses to determine when there is a natural correspondence or "pairing" of specific observations between study groups. The t-test reduces the two samples to one by examining the differences between the observations in the two groups. The advantage to this approach is that variation within the groups does not mask the difference between their means as much as if the two groups were not paired. T-tests were run for reported pure premium, claim frequency (per million dollars of payroll) and claim severity (excluding medical only). For these tests, a value of .0500 or less is considered as indicating significant statistical difference.

FEASIBILITY OF DISCONTINUING CODE 676

The report has noted that one of the objectives of the PCRB Code 676 study is to determine if Code 676 should be discontinued and the operations contemplated by Code 676 be reassigned from Code 676 to another exiting classification(s). The file by file review illustrates that Code 676 is a focused classification essentially contemplating three employer groups. Of the employers assigned to field of business study groups, the majority works with gutters and downspouts. Two employers work with metal ceilings and the remainder work with a variety of sheet metal products with no principal product line. In view of the focused structure of Code 676 and the relatively small number of files in comparison to other PCRB construction or erection classifications, staff determined that these subsets should remain grouped together for purposes of this review.

As previously discussed, the PCRB classifies the installation of aluminum siding to either Code 651 or Code 652. Codes 651 and 652 are general carpentry classifications that apply to work performed on commercial structures (Code 651) or residential structures i.e., one- or two-family detached houses, townhouses or row houses or buildings designed primarily for multiple occupancy (e.g., apartments) three stories or less in height (Code 652) that is not specifically contemplated by any other construction or erection classification. The installation of aluminum siding as well as certain other operations contemplated by Codes 651 and 652 are reasonably analogous to the installation of downspouts, gutters and other sheet metal products contemplated by Code 676. The product being installed is bolted, screwed, nailed or otherwise attached to a building exterior, interior or some other substrate. Code 676 applies to work performed on either residential or commercial structures. Staff reviewed the feasibility of discontinuing Code 676 and reassigning the operations thereto from Code 676 to Code 651 for sheet metal installation work performed on commercial or industrial structures, and from Code 676 to Code 652 for sheet metal work performed on residential structures. That review included an historical experience comparison of the April 1, 2019 Code 676, Code 651 and Code 652 Class Book Pages, attached in the order shown below:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Title
1	April 1, 2019 Code 676 Class Book Page
2	April 1, 2019 Code 651 Class Book Page
3	April 1, 2019 Code 652 Class Book Page

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 5 of 7

The historical experience comparison is summarized in the following table. The results of the comparison shows Code 676 to be favorable in relation to Code 651 and considerably favorable in relation to Code 652 for reported pure premium, indicated (pre-test) pure premium and indicated (post-test) pure premium.

Exhibit No.	Reported Pure Premium	Indicated (Pre-Test)	Indicated (Post Test)
1	2.838	2.880	2.880
2	3.635	3.215	3.215
3	5.511	5.246	5.246

The t-tests run for this analysis are listed below:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Title
4	T-test Comparing the April 1, 2019 Code 676 Class
	Book Page with the April 1, 2019 Code 651 Class
	Book Page
5	T-test Comparing the April 1, 2019 Code 676 Class
	Book Page with the April 1, 2019 Code 652 Class
	Book Page

Exhibit 4 shows no significant statistical differences between Code 676 and Code 651 for reported pure premium and claim severity, and significant statistical differences between Code 676 and Code 651 for claim frequency. Exhibit 5 shows there are significant statistical differences between Code 676 and Code 652 for reported pure premium and claim frequency but not claim severity. On the basis of the t-tests and historical experience comparisons, staff concludes that it is not feasible to discontinue Code 676 and reassign the operations assigned thereto from Code 676 to Code 651 or to Code 652. While the t-tests show no significant statistical differences between Code 676 and Code 651 for reported pure premium, claim frequency or claim severity, there are significant statistical differences between Codes 676 and 652 for two of the three categories (reported pure premium and claim frequency). As the report has noted, discontinuing Code 676 would require reassigning operations classified thereto from Code 676 to Code 651 for work performed on commercial or industrial structures, and from Code 676 to Code 652 for work performed on residential structures. While the statistical tests suggest the feasibility of reassigning operations from Code 676 to Code 651, they do not indicate it is feasible to reassign operations from Code 676 to Code 652. Discontinuing Code 676 would only be feasible if operations classified to Code 676 could be reassigned to both Code 651 and Code 652. Further, the approved April 1, 2019 loss costs for Codes 676, 651 and 652 are \$3.46, \$4.47 and \$6.73, respectively. Reassignment from Code 676 to Code 651 results in a rating value increase of 29.2% and reassignment from Code 676 to Code 652 results in a rating value increase of 94.5%. The approved April 1, 2019 maximum permissible rating value increase for an Industry 2 classification is 15%.

FEASIBILITY OF RESTRUCTURING CODE 676 TO ALLOW FOR THE SEPARATE CLASSIFIATION OF SHOP AND FIELD WORK

The report has noted that for an employer engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products, both the shop payroll and the installation payroll must be assigned to Code 676. This procedure applies whether or not the employer has separate shop fabrication and installation crews, and regardless of the percentage of the employer's overall operation that is attributable to shop fabrication versus installation. Code 676 is one of the PCRB's construction/erection classifications. Such classifications are typically focused to field work.

The Code 676 study file by file review identified 97 total employers engaged in both the shop fabrication and installation of sheet metal products. Of those 97 employers, 24 use separate crews to perform the shop fabrication and the field installation work, and 73 use an interchanging crew that performs both shop

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 6 of 7

fabrication and field installation work. Staff compared the historical experience of employers engaged in both the shop fabrication and field installation of sheet metal products who use separate crews to perform the shop fabrication and field installation work with those employers who use an interchanging crew to perform both shop fabrication and field installation work. The historical experience comparison involves the following exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Title
6	Sheet Metal Fabrication and Installation – Separate Shop and Field Crews
7	Sheet Metal Fabrication and Installation – Interchanging Shop and Field Crews

The comparison shows the historical experience of Exhibit 6 to be considerably favorable in relation to Exhibit 7. The historical experience comparison is summarized in the following table.

Exhibit No.	Reported Pure Premium	Indicated (Pre-Test)	Indicated (Post Test)
6	0.267	0.025	0.026
7	1.730	1.401	1.474

The historical experience comparison shows that the experience of employers who perform both sheet metal products fabrication and installation and who use separate crews of employees for the fabrication and installation work is more favorable than that for employers who perform both fabrication and installation work using an interchanging crew. Presumably this is due to Exhibit 6 including a segment of employees who have no field exposure. While the PCRB would have to perform special audits of the employers in Exhibit 7 to determine how much of those employers' payroll was attributable to installation work versus fabrication work, and would have to review claims data to determine the frequency and severity of claims filed for employees while doing installation work versus fabrication work, staff concludes from this analysis and from a comparison of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs (\$3.46 for Code 676 and \$1.90 for Code 454) that sheet metal fabrication presents a less hazardous exposure than does sheet metal installation.

The t-tests run for this analysis are listed below:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Title
8	T-test Comparing Exhibit 6 with Exhibit 7

Exhibit 8 shows there are no significant statistical differences between Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7 for reported pure premium and claim severity and significant statistical differences for claim frequency.

Staff proposes that for policies with effective dates of April 1, 2020 and later Code 676 be restructured to allow for the separate rating of sheet metal product fabrication to Code 454. Such restructuring would apply whether or not a business performing both sheet metal products fabrication and installation uses separate crews for the fabrication and installation work, by way of the PCRB's 'separately rate' procedure. When the term "to be separately rated" or "separately rate" is found in a classification's definition, the payroll of personnel interchanging between that classification's tasks (e.g., shop) and also performing the specified function that is designated "to be separately rated" or "separately rate" (e.g., erection, installation) may be divided between that class and class(es) designated for the specified function, provided the employer's original payroll records show an allocation of payroll to both classifications for each interchanging employee. When separate payroll records are not maintained, the entire payroll of the interchanging employees shall be assigned to the insuring carrier's highest-valued classification representing any part of their work. Staff believes this approach will affirm the status of Code 676 as a construction/erection classification, recognize the differences in process and hazard between fabrication and installation/erection operations, and bring the classification procedure for impacted employers in line

Re: PCRB Study of Code 676

June 12, 2019 Page 7 of 7

with procedure for other metal working classes where the products being fabricated typically lend themselves to installation/erection. Both Code 411, Steel Fabricating – Bridge and Structural Shops, and Code 413, Iron Works – Shop – Ornamental, Non-Structural Iron or Steel Fabricating, include "separately rate" provisions for installation/erection work. This approach will also alleviate situations where the PCRB is compelled to assign Code 676 to an employer's entire operation even in instances where an employer is principally engaged in shop fabrication.

The approval of Code 454 for the employers in Exhibits 6 and 7 represents a rating value decrease of 45.1% on the basis of the approved April 1, 2019 PCRB loss costs. Staff recommends adopting this approach for reasons discussed above even though this decrease exceeds the approved April 1, 2019 maximum permissible rating value decrease for an Industry 2 classification (35%).

The proposed enabling Section 2 Manual language amendments are attached for the Committee's review. The PCRB will notify all employers classified to Code 676 when this filing is made. In the event of the Commissioners' approval of the filing, the carrier of record for each employer impacted by this proposal will be notified and a copy of that notice will be provided to the employer

Attachments.

c: Bill Taylor
Delisa Fairley
Vince Dean
Joe Lombo

PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS COMPENSATION MANUAL OF RULES, CLASSIFICATIONS AND RATING VALUES FOR WORKERS COMPENSATION AND FOR EMPLOYERS LIABILITY INSURANCE

Proposed Effective April 1, 2020

SECTION 2

RATING VALUES through DEFINITIONS remains unchanged.

CLASSIFICATIONS

454 SHEET METAL PRODUCTS FABRICATION, N.O.C., SHOP ONLY

Sheet metal shall be thinner than #3 U.S. Standard Gauge (less than 1/4" thick).

Code 676 shall be assigned to both the shop and the erection or installation payroll developed by an insured engaged in both the shop fabrication of sheet metal products and the erection or installation thereof.

OPERATIONS NOT INCLUDED:

1. Separately rate the installation of sheet metal products as provided for in this Manual.

UNDERWRITING GUIDE

No Change

676 SHEET METAL INSTALLATION, NO PAYROLL DIVISION WITH CODE 659 AT THE SAME LOCATION OR JOB SITE

Code 676 shall be assigned to both the shop and the erection or installation payroll developed by an insured engaged in both the shop fabrication of sheet metal products and the erection or installation thereof.

OPERATIONS NOT INCLUDED:

1. Separately rate the shop fabrication of sheet metal products to Code 454.

UNDERWRITING GUIDE

No Change

PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS COMPENSATION MANUAL OF RULES, CLASSIFICATIONS AND RATING VALUES FOR WORKERS COMPENSATION AND FOR EMPLOYERS LIABILITY INSURANCE

Proposed Effective April 1, 2020

SECTION 2

RATING VALUES through DEFINITIONS remains unchanged.

CLASSIFICATIONS

454 SHEET METAL PRODUCTS FABRICATION, N.O.C., SHOP

Sheet metal shall be thinner than #3 U.S. Standard Gauge (less than 1/4" thick).

OPERATIONS NOT INCLUDED:

1. Separately rate the installation of sheet metal products as provided for in this Manual.

UNDERWRITING GUIDE

No Change

676 SHEET METAL INSTALLATION, NO PAYROLL DIVISION WITH CODE 659 AT THE SAME LOCATION OR JOB SITE

OPERATIONS NOT INCLUDED:

1. Separately rate the shop fabrication of sheet metal products to Code 454.

UNDERWRITING GUIDE

No Change

CLASS SHEET METAL INSTALLATION

PAGE 200

9/9

CODE

PAYROLLS REFLECTING STANDARD EXCEPTIONS

March Marc	MANDAL	PAYROLL	TOTAL REPT.	PURE PREM.						1	CASES		
Column C	YEAR	IN THOUS	LOSSES	REPORTED					DEATH	P.T. MAJO	MINOR	TEMP AL	
Colored Colo	5011	48,306	1,384,703	2,866								53	33
Column C	012	49,849	1,917,092	3.845								21	27
Colored Colo	013	48,988	1,288,248	2.629								9-	20
Total line Tot	014	50,741	929,747	1.832								16	20
Charles Char	015	46,797	1,424,591	3.044							22	16	21
DEATH P. T. NATION MINOR MIN	AL	244,681	6,944,381	2.838								34	121
DEATH P. T. MAJOR TEMP TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR TEMP TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR TEMP TEMP			2,785	100.								10.5	
DEATH P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR MAJOR MINOR TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP MAJOR MINOR TEMP MAJOR MINOR													
						REP(ORTED LOSSES						
DEATH P.T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP DEATH P.T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP MAJOR MINOR	UAL			INDEMNITY					MEDIC	CAL			
The color of the	AR	DEATH	- A	MAJOR	MINOR	TEMP	DEATH	P. T.	l		TEMP	MED, ONLY	_
1962 1969	011			164,448	382.046	138,173			52,404	244,637	310,590	92	2,405
Transfer Transfer	012			425,158	46,297	160,818			465,310	448,775	311,602	59	59,132
Translate Total Translate Total Coses Part Total Coses Part	24.3			525.531	135.048	153,394			129,263	113,051	145,337	86	6,624
Translation	014			188.885	39.432	103,683			363,222	692	161,069	72	72,764
Total trans losses poems Total trans losses	0.15				288.148	257.903				311.264	474,387	92	2,889
NEW PRINT NATES NEW PRINT NATES NATE	At			1.304.622	890.971	813,971			1.010.199	1,118,419	1,402,985	403	3,814
MANOR P T MAJOR MAJO						197					1,075	1	1,513
NOTION N						MAGT	er ATED I Deepe				1		
DEATH P. T. MAJOR TEMP TEMP	1			VIII TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		INAI	STATED LUSSES		M	COLCAL			
145 12,00	JAL		1	INDEMNITY	COMME	TEMES	DEATE		l	MINOP	TEMB	V INC CIEM	,
145 2,800 2,800 505,626 411,086 513,000 51	X.	DEATH		MAJOR	MINOR	TOT TOT	2000		80 777	195 491	420.167	MED. ONE.	R 876
145 4,633 6,304 6,445 7,94	27.2		008 6	501,116	000,004 040 tA	203 630		9 475	789 940	581.802	411 086	25 (2)	6 235
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	2 5	145	4,603	637.108	179.198	196.817	4.060	4.097	239,617	149,222	180,000	82	2,466
Second S	14	14	3.057	249.671	69,478	120,213	1,175	24,201	578,326	54,196	191,228	7.0	70,581
482 16,938 2,056,428 1,150,001 955,395 4,132 54,934 2,161,675 1,515,044 1,594,382 381,160 TOTAL TRANS.LOSSES PG A	512	296	6.448	462.245	369.846	243,219	1,897	17,161	465,020	404,701	381,901	90	90,753
TOTAL TRANS. LOSSES PG B	T d	482	16.998	2.056.428		955,395	4,132	54,934	2,161,675	1.515.044	1.594,382	386	6,711
TOTAL TRANS. LOSSES PG B SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NO-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SE	1			12		223			69	67	1 268		1.439
SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS MED. ONLY TOTA SES PG A 4,294,733 5,216,393 38,150 ADJUST. -1,664,299 -1,188,543 950 ADJUST. 2,630,434 4,027,850 389,100 A,208,513 3,286,067 364,575 NST-TEST 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST 1,075 1,646 ,159 NRMULA 1,720 1,33 ,136 NRES. RATE 1,720 1,348 ,136 1480 4-1-19 4-1-19 1,238 ,136 18 4-1-18 1-1-19 4-1-19 1,238 ,136 18 4-1-18 1-1-19 1-1-19 1-1-19 1-1-19 18 4-1-18 1-1-19 1-1-19 1-1-19 1-1-19	4			2	2	244			3				
SES PG B 4,294,733 5,216,393 388,150 SES PG A ADJUST1,664,299 -1,188,543 950 ADJUST. 2,650,434 4,027,850 388,100 4,208,513 3,288,067 364,575 .05 .146 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .136 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,030 NST-TEST 1,075 1,030 NST-TEST 1,075 1,030 NST-TEST 1,075 1,030 NST-TEST 1,000 NST-TEST		MS :			SERIOUS	NON-SERIOUS	MED. ONLY	TOTAL					
ADJUST1,664.299 -1,183,543 950 ADJUST. 2,650.434 4,027,850 389,100 4,208,513 3,286,087 364,575 3,286,087 364,575 .14 20,05 1,1646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 .159 NST-TEST 1,1480 1,136 A-1-19 A-1-19 IND. RATE 3,70 4,04 3,61 + 3,31 PRESENT		r=1	OTAL TRANS, LO.	SSES PGB		5,216,393	388,150						
ADJUST1.664 299 -1.188,543 389,100 2.630,434 4.027,850 389,100 2.630,434 4.027,850 389,100 3.70 4.04 3.61 4.139 1.186			OTAL TRANS. LO.	SSES PG A									
RE-TEST) 4,027,850 389,100 RE-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 ST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 ST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 ST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 SRMULA 1,501 1,172 ,130 SRMULA 1,238 ,149 RES. RATE 1,720 1,343 ,136 1480 1,238 ,136 1480 4,1-19 IND. RATE 3.70 4,04 3,61 + 3.31 PRESENT		=1	BNR + FREQUENC	Y ADJUST.	-1.664.299	-1,188,543	950						
RE-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,676 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,646 ,159 NST-TEST) 1,646 ,159 1,646 1,172 ,130 NRMULA 1,748 1,348 ,136 1,480 1,238 ,136 1,480 4,1-19 1ND. RATE 1,480 4,1-19 1ND. RATE 3,70 4,04 3,61 + 3,31 PRESENT			OTAL LOSSES		2,630,434	4,027,850	389,100						
UMS		and I	XPECTED LOSSE	S	4,208,513	3,286,067	364,575						
PRE-TEST 1.646 .159 .159 .159 .159 .159 .159 .1501 .170 .150 .159 .1501 .172 .130			REDIBILITY		50.	14	.21						
FED (PRE-TEST)		11.	URE PREMIUMS										
FED (POST-TEST) 1,075 1,646 1,159 1,172 1,30 1,172 1,30			INDICATED (1	PRE-TEST)	1,075	1,646	.159	2.880					
NR RATE LEVEL 1.501 1.172 .130 DBY FORMULA 1.480 1.238 .136 LYING PRES. RATE 1.720 1.343 .149 SED 1.480 1.238 .136 2-1-18 4-1-19 14-19 1.0D. RATE 3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.31 MINIMUM PREMIUM			INDICATED (P	OST-TEST)	1.075	1.646	159	2.880					
DBY FORMULA 1.480 1.238 1.36 LYING PRES. RATE 1.720 1.343 1.48			PRES. ON RA	TELEVEL	1.501	1.172	.130	2.803					
1.720 1.343 .149 .1480 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .136 .1370 .1			DERIVED BY	FORMULA	1.480	1.238		2.854					
SED 1.480 4.238 .136 2-1-18 4-1-19 4-1-19 IND. RATE 3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.31 MINIMUM PREMIUM			UNDERLYING	PRES. RATE	1.720	1,343	149	3.212					
2-1-18 4-1-19 1-1-19 1ND. RATE 3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.31 PRESENT		J.	PROPOSED		1.480	1.238	,136	2.854					
2-1-18 4-1-18 1-1-19 4-1-19 IND. RATE 3.3-1 MINIMUM PREMIUM 3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.3-1 PRESENT				1		Ì							
3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.31		516	_	+	1-1-19		ATE	3.306					
3.70 4.04 3.61 + 3.31			ND. RATES			_	UM PREMIUM						
		4	AAN, RATES!			_	12						

CLASS CARPENTRY - COMMERCIAL

PAGE 177

651

CODE

PAYROLLS REFLECTING STANDARD EXCEPTIONS

No. No.	MANUAL	PAYROLL	TOTAL REPT.	PURE PREM	PREM.								NUMBER OF CASES			
Colored Biology Colored Bi	YEAR	IN THOUS	LOSSES	REPO	RTED						DEATH	P. T.	MAJOR	_	IEMP	ALL
Colore C	2011	536,785	25,368,382		4.725						(r.		25	25	197	284
Color Colo	2013	565,336	21,202,085		3.750						. 64		33	52	208	295
Colorado Colorado	014	767,793	22,567,769		3.713			_				400	31	47	179	258
Colored Colo	015	660,252	15,754,454		2.386						1		6	45	211	267
1 15 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12	LAL	2,904,302	105,582,676		3.635						7	4	145	256	1022	1434
DEATH			18,610												-	
Dection P. T. MAJOR MEDICAL MEDICAL								REPORTE	SASSIC							
DEATH P.T. MAJOR MALOR MALOR	IIVII			MON	MITT						MEDIC	CAL				
Colored Colo	ADA	DEATH	1 0	MA	OR		TEMP		DEATH	P.T.		el .		TEMP	MED.	ONLY
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	044	244 256		٥	209 690	2 A7R 654					4.580.097	3.117	.817	2.019.788		1,190,66
1,53,178 2,39,814 1,52,199	12	884 734	716.361		068,229	2.587.802		433	46.079	92,354	2,406.807	1,752	937	2,421,532		939,718
1,534,108 229,566 230,703,001 1,550,702 2,264,023 2,264,023 2,264,023 2,264,023 2,264,023 2,264,023 2,264,103 2,26	013	234.787		_	218,928	1,925,199		564	56,935		3,870,331	2,124	,014	2,649,523		1,290,804
1,694,168 369,546 1,624,168 2,066,228 2,226,446 1,624,168 1,624,	014		229,833	. 9	654,051	2,592,805	500 (1)	623		531,043	4,547,480	1,648	1,191	2,494,532		1,229,211
1,694,168 989,568 30,703,001 11,620,768 12,002,627 109,728 12,804,108 14,410,927 12,538,104 DEATH P. T.	015	330.411	43,374	,	552,103	2,066,328		746	5,563	2,201,704	495,231	2,767	896	2,952,729		1,084,297
Translate P.T. INDEANITY TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATED LOSSES TRANSLATE TRANSLATE	AL	1,694,188	989,568	30	703,001	11,650,788		627	108,736	2,825,101	15,899,946	11,410	1,927	12,538,104		5,734,690
TRANSLATED LOSSES TOTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES TOTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES TOTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES TOTAL TRANSL LOSSES FG A T. S.94 T. S.95							2.3	206						4,217		12,187
DEATH P. T. MAJOR TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP MINOR																
DEATH P. T. INDEMNITY MINOR TEMP DEATH P. T. MAJOR MEDICAL MAJOR M							H	RANSLATE	ED LOSSES							
DEATH P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP P. T. MAJOR MINOR TEMP TEMP	UAL			INDE	MNITY							EDICAL				
286,406	AR T	DEATH	P. T.	MA.	JOR	MINOR			DEATH	P. T.	MAJOR	MINOR		TEMP	MED.	ONLY
1,000, 256 1,102, 344 7,200, 272 3,225, 24 3,510, 415 178,890 160,124 3,000,420 2,302,473 3,227,788 15,309 3,217,891 15,153 3,227,789 15,153 3,227,789 15,153 3,227,789 15,153 3,227,789 2,300,420 2,245,303	110	280,406		11	,137,827	3,046,094		787	200		7,617,851	4,135	,439	2,797,405		1,116,83
1,500,250	012	926,616	1,122,341		,205,027	3,222,524	37130	415	178,890	180,244	3,904,202	2,302	395	3,153,209		893,672
1,500,250	013	322,789	62,457	603	1,495,712	2,520,307	00/	638	156,997	108,121	6,330,063	2,85C	,542	3,323,473		1,228,84
1,562,204	14	1,455	288,502	ω)	,708,389	3,512,369	361.1	081	13,153	999,474	6,816,058	2,24	,303	2,956,095		1,192,33
1,500,250	215	368,984	89,904	a)	,007,450	3,005,179		629	61,216	999,989	3,962,381	2,785	,974	2,457,739		1,059,35
SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NO-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS NON-S	7	1,900,250	1,563,204	40	,554,405	15,306,473		950	410,456	2,287,828	28,630,555	14,315	,653	14,687,921		5,491,049
SERIOUS NON-SERIOUS MED ONLY TOTA SES PG A 75,346,698 59,212,896 5,502,748 ADJUST -29,076,853 -17,613,771 20,058 ADJUST 46,269,845 41,599,185 5,522,806 ABJUST 1,432 1,30 RE-TEST 1,432 1,90 RELEVEL 2,238 1,447 1,90 RRMULA 2,070 1,447 1,90 RES. RATE 2,564 1,447 1,90 18 4,1-18 1,1-19 4,1-19 MINIMUM PREMIUM 5,58 5,06 + 4,29 MINIMUM PREMIUM 1,90							3,0	058						5,841		11,699
SES PG B SERVICES NOTESTINOUS NEW STAND NEW		.41				201010	NON CEDIO	F	V INO G	TOTAL						
SES PG A 73,340,050 53,412,030 5,021,440 SES PG A -29,076,853 -17,613,711 20,058 ADJUST. 46,269,845 41,589,185 5,522,806 AE-TEST 74,466,302 49,315,047 6,970,325 ST-TEST 1,593 1,432 ,190 ST-TEST 1,593 1,482 ,209 RES. RATE 2,070 1,447 ,190 RES. RATE 2,574 1,447 ,190 18 4,1-19 1,1-19 4,1-19 NIND, RATE 5,98 5,06 + 4,29 PRESERT PRESERT			TOTAL PRIABILIA	0100		SENIOUS SES		1	E EAS 740	1000						
ADJUST, 29,076,853 -17,613,711 20,058 ADJUST, 46,209,845 41,593,185 5,522,806 74,466,302 49,315,047 6,970,325 .26 1,432 .190 ST-TEST) 1,593 1,432 .190 ST-TEST) 1,593 1,482 .209 SRMULA 2,2070 1,447 .190 18 4-1-19 4-1-19 IND. RATE		e e e e	TOTAL INANS. LO	SSES T	0.	13,340,030		020	3,302,140							
AUJUST ACTURE 853 AUTUST AUJUST ACTURE 853 AUTUST ACTURE 853 AUTUST ACTURE 853 AUTUST AUT			TOTAL IRANS. LO.	DANES PG	d ,	070 070 00		24.4	020.00							
A-1-18 A-1-19 A-1-19 A-1-18 A			IBNK + FREQUENC	ADOUG	11.	46 969 945		405	E E 22 000							
RE-TEST) 1.593 1.432 .180 ST-TEST) 1.593 1.432 .190 ST-TEST) 1.583 1.482 .209 DRMULA 2.070 1.447 .190 RES. RATE 2.564 1.698 2.40 18 4.1-19 1.447 .190 18 4.1-19 4.1-19 IND. RATE 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 PRESERT		-317	TOTAL LOSSES	9		74 455 302		2007	5 970 325							
TED (PRE-TEST) 1,593 1,432 .190 .190 .180 .1			CREDIED LOSSE	2		26		71	1.00							
PRE-TEST 1.593 1.432 .190		A 100	PURE PREMIUMS													
ED [POST-TEST] 1.583 1.432 .190 .238 .180 .209 .207 .208 .209 .207 .2		.29	INDICATED (8	PRE-TES	-	1.593		432	1901.	3.215						
NN RATE LEVEL 2.238 1.482 .209 DBY FORMULA 2.070 1.447 .190 YING PRES. RATE 2.564 1.698 .240 SED 2.070 1.447 .190 A-1-18 1-1-19 4-1-18 IND. RATE S-1-18 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM			INDICATED (P	POST-TES	E	1.593		432	.190	3.215						
DBY FORMULA 2.070 1.447 .190 SED 2.564 1.698 2.40 SED 1.447 .190 2-1-18 4-1-19 4-1-19 4-1-19 S - 98 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM			PRES. ON RA	TELEVE		2.238		482	.208	3.929						
SED 2.0564 1.698 2.40 SED 1.447 .190 2.070 1.447 .190 2.1-18 4-1-19 4-1-19 Ind. RATE 5.98 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM			DERIVED BY	FORMUL	45	2.070		447	190	3.707						
SED 1.447 .190 2-1-18 4-1-19 4-1-19 InD. RATE 5-98 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM			UNDERL'YING	3 PRES. R	ATE	2.564		869	240	4.502						
2-1-18 4-1-18 1-1-19 4-1-19 IND. RATE 4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 PRESENT		13	PROPOSED			2.070		447	.190	3.707						
4.29 MINIMUM PREMIUM 5.98 5.06 + 4.29 PRESENT		.0	-	1-18	4-1-18	1-1-19		ID. RATE		4.294						
5.98 5.06 + 4.29			_				_	INIMUM P	REMIUM	10000000						
			MAN DATES	202	5 98	5.06	-	PESENT								

CLASS CARPENTRY - RESIDENTIAL

178

PAGE

652

CODE

PAYROLLS REFLECTING STANDARD EXCEPTIONS

	ALL	428	431	387	382	2050	m			MED. ONLY	1.006.293	1.055.145	1,059,511	1,162,249	1,009,112	5,292,310	3.074			Y INO	943.903	1,003,443	1,008,654	1,127,382	200,302	5,069,284	2,936																	
	TEMP	325	304	284	310	1519	rò			MED										MED																								
CASES	-1	67	ဂ တ			394				TEMP	3.452.296	3.035.446	4,249,827	4,465,438	4,036,092	19,239,099	4,844			TEMP	4.781.423	4,019,068	5,240,354	5,067,791	5,456,903	22,567,539	5,885																	
S	P.T. MAJOR	(S) 4	P 62	25	ro	134				MINOR	4.768.359	4.508.086	3,645,351	3,399,126	3,474,271	19,895,193			C41	MINOR	6.156.879	5,976,988	4,717,202	4,106,034	4,222,829	25,181,932	253																	
H	DEATH	-		_	-	62			MEDICAL	MAJOR	4.154.443	5.741.718	2,703,153	2,700,927	1,656,998	16,967,239			MEDICAL	MAJOR	6,198,643	9,220,925	5,326,447	6,287,460	6,400,014	33,488,989	261																	
										P. T.										Т d		110,476	91,530	233,193	273,fbT	708,960	e	TOTAL							376 3	0.240	5,240	6 770	3.7.20	6.638	5.726	6.634		
								REPORTED I OSSES	1000000	DEATH	852.561			187,763	14,759	1,055,083		TRANSI ATED LOSSES		DEATH	1.006.431		22,196	147,090	210,16	1,226,729		MED, ONLY	5,072,220		16,834	5,089,054	6,004,455	00.	200	100	262	2000	CC7.	.276	.235	ш	MINIMUM PREMIUM	_
								REDUB		TEMP	2.267.216	2.260.267	2,606,676	2,849,434	3,331,555	13,315,148	15,769	TRANSI		TEMP	3 142 383	2,922,768	3,263,027	3,434,509	5,177,529	15,939,996	20,453	NON-SERIOUS	86,884,062		-24,309,984	62,574,078	67,854,687	SC.	370 5	2.010	2.670	27 1.27	2.013	3,118	2.813		_	+ 6.63 PRESENT
										MINOR	2.861.308	4.319.462	4,190,750	3,862,554	3,170,310	18,404,384				MINOR	3 504 560	5,322,775	5,147,340	4,741,669	4,451,300	23,167,650	354	SERIOUS	74,110,489		-27,651,907	46,458,582	70,552,340	777	2010	2.130	2.730	1 670	0.0.0	3.243	2.678	1-1-19 4-		7.45
PURE PREM.	REPORTED	6.227	5.609	5.179	3.775	5,511	.001		INDEMNITY	MAJOR	6.819.064	8 171 216	4,977,833	4,167,224	1,220,669	25,356,006			INDEMNITY	MA.IOR	8 235 679	9,680,158	6,621,467	7,024,909	0,434,313	37,995,926	452		PGB	PG A	JUST.				CCTV	EST	(ESI)	131 A	200	S. RAIE		4-1-18		5 8,70
Т,	1	26,194,540	23,433,101	23,123,511	17,953,766	119,896,258	23,687		NI	P. T.									N	1-0		52,888	51,574	83,875	80,344	283,681	7		TOTAL TRANS, LOSSES PG B	TOTAL TRANS, LOSSES PG A	IBNR + FREQUENCY ADJUST	TOTAL LOSSES	EXPECTED LOSSES	CREDIBILITY	NDICATED (SOE TEST)	MDICATED TARE	DODES ON DATE I EVEL	DEDUCED BY FOOTH II A	DERIVED BY PORN	UNDERLYING PRES, RATE	PROPOSED	YEAR 2-1-18	ND. RATES	MAN. RATES 8.25
	IN THOUS	420,643	417,772	448,422	475,595	2,175,527				DEATH	3.000			328,796	40,000	371,796				DFATH	3 234		1,378	352,260	48,503	465,481			TOT	TOT	IBNE	TOT	EXP	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	TOT							YE	. IND.	MAN
MANDAL	YEAR	2011	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	0.D.		MANITAL	YEAR	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	0.0		MANISA	YEAR	2011	2012	2013	2014	CL07	TOTAL	0.D.																	

PENNSYLVANIA STATISTICAL STUDY

676 CLASS SHEET METAL INSTALLATION CLASS CARPENTRY - COMMERCIAL

651

Manual Year		Pure Premium Reported		T - test va	lues
2011	2.867	4.	726		
2012	3.846	3,1	374		
2013	2.630	3.	750	2011 - 2015	0.1693
2014	1.832	3,7	713		
2015	3.044	2.5	386		

Manual Year		Claim Frequency per million		T - test va	lues
2011	0.683	0	615		
2012	0.542	0	.532		
2013	0.408	0	,522	2011 - 2015	0.9004
2014	0.394	0	.425		
2015	0.449	0	.404		

Manual Year		Claim Severity Excl Med Only		T - test va	alues
2011	39,161		73,266		
2012	68,813		69,543		
2013	60,081		67,496	2011 - 2015	0.1950
2014	42,849		82,708		
2015	63,414		54,944		

PENNSYLVANIA STATISTICAL STUDY

676 CLASS SHEET METAL INSTALLATION CLASS CARPENTRY - RESIDENTIAL

652

Manual Year		Pure Premium Reported	T - test values
2011	2,867	6.22	27
2012	3.846	7.03	32
2013	2.630	5.60	09 2011 - 2015 0.0056
2014	1.832	5.18	80
2015	3.044	3.77	75

Manual Year		Claim Frequency per million	T - test values
2011	0.683	1.018	8
2012	0.542	1.038	38
2013	0.408	1.010	0 2011 - 2015 0.0008
2014	0.394	0.867	37
2015	0.449	0.803	03

Manual Year		Claim Severity Excl Med Only		T - test va	lues
2011	39,161		58,851		
2012	68,813		65,281		
2013	60,081		53,018	2011 - 2015	0.9168
2014	42,849		56,747		
2015	63,414		44,358		

CLASSIFICATION STUDY - PENNSYLVANÍA INDÚSTRY GROUP: 2 EXHEBIT 5:

EXHIBIT 5: Sheet Metal Pabrication & Installation, M.C.C. * Separate Shop and Pield Craws

CODE

	<<	-	0	0	2	0	m	0																					
	Тетр	ą.	0	0	-	0	2	G.			Med. Only	384	253	479	4,563	3 50	00	0			Med Only	360	241	456	4,426	3,085	8,568	0	
500	Mirtor	Ö	0	0	No.	0	7	0			Temp	30,541	0	0	16,463	0	47,004	٥			Temp	42.299	0	0	17,158	0	59,457	Ö	
Number of Cases	Major	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Mirror	0	0	0	0	0	0	О			Minor	0	0	O	2,227	0	2,227	0	0.025 0.026 2.906 2.834
	Ld	0	0	0	0	0	٥	Ö		Wedical	Major	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Medical	Major	0	0	0	2,509	0	2,509	0	MED ONLY 8,566 8,566 3,718 51,833 0.06 0.025 0.026
	Death	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0			n.	ō	0	0	a	0	0	0			PT	O	0	0	69	0	6.6	C	NON SER 106.28 (173,056) 6,004 0,000 0,000 1,215 1,166
Claim	Frequency	0,1553	00000	0.0000	0.2600	0.0000	0.0852	_	OSSES		Death	0	0	0	0	0	0	ũ	LOSSES		Death	a	0	0	m	0	co.	0	SERIOUS 13.529 (242.353) 588.336 0.01 0.000 0.000 1.556 1.540
Claim	Severity	90	#DIV/0!	#DIV/O!	21,519	#DIV/0!	28,032		REPORTED LOSSES		Temp	10,519	О	0	6.574	O	17,093	0	TRANSLATED LOSSES		Temp	14,579	0	0	8,079	0	22,658	0	
Total Trans	cosses	238	241 #		67,325		128,345				Minor	o	a	0	20,000	0	20,000	0			Minor	0	0	0	21,906	0	21,906	0	DTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES INR + FREQ, ADJUSTMENT DTAL LOSSES XPECTED LOSSES REDIBILITY URE PREMIUMS INDICATED (PRE-TEST) INDICATED (POST-TEST) PRES ON LOSS COST LEVEL DERIVED BY FORMULA
Pure Prem	Reported	0,643	0 004	0.008	0.619	0.042	0,267	0.000		Indemnity	Major	co	0	0	c)	0	0	0		Indemnity	Major	0	0	0	10,824	O	10,824	0	TOTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES IBMR + FREQ. ADJUSTIMENT TOTAL LOSSES EXPECTED LOSSES CREDIBILITY PURE PREMIUMS INDICATED (PRE-TEST) INDICATED (POST-TEST) PRES. ON LOSS COST LEVEL DERIVED BY FORMULA
Total Rept	Losses	4,644	253	479	47,600	3,158	92,934	0			L d.	0	O	0	o	0	0	0			Td	0	0	0	122	0	122	0	1 5 8 5 8 9 1 - 4 9 .
Payroll	IN Thouse.	441	7,098	6,063	7,693	7,492	34,787				Death	0	C	0	0	6	0	ci			Death	0	0	0	~	0	2	0	
Manual	Year	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	0.0		Manua	Year	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	OD		Manual	Year	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	0.0	

IND. LOSS COST = ADJ, LOSS COST =

3.29 3.29

1-1-19 3,61

YEAR IND. LOSS COST MAN.LOSS COST

Manual Year 2011 2012 2013 2014 2014 2015 COTAL

Year 2011 2012 2012 2013 2014 2015 TOTAL 0.0

CLASSIFICATION STUDY - PENNSYLVANIA (CLASSIFICATION STUDY): INDUSTRY GROUP: 2 EXKIEIT 6: Sheep Metel Fabrication & Induallation, M.O.C. - Enterthanging Shop and Fisit Crew

yroil	Total Rept	Pure Prem	Total Trans	Claim	Claim			Number of Cases	П		
	LOESES	Reported	1,03838	Severity	Frequency	Seath Page	t- 0	Major	Minst	Temp	A
5,289	172,726	3,260	213,199	51,550	0.5661	0	0	0	-	eu	es.
5,375	86,046	1.501	109,630	39,484	0,3721	0	0	0	0	2	C:
4.848	4.217	0.087		#DIV/01	0,0000	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 003	11 924	0.738	14 191	2.862	0,3998	0	0	0	hur	4	2
4.752	162 411	47	284.858	80,252	0.4209	0	0	0	~	~-	2
25,277	437,321	1,730	825,893	44,427	0.3561	С	o	0	(r)	۵	Ø
	0	0.000				O	ю	Ω	0	0	0
				REPORTED LOSSES	LOSSES						
		Indemnity					Medical				
Death	ď	Major	Minor	Temp	Death	i.	Major	Minor	Tento	Med Only	
0	O	0	105,540	9,891	0	0	0	26,668	12,552	18,075	
0	0	0	0	18,181	0	0	0	0	60,786	7,079	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,217	
	0	0	932	2,896	0	0	0	609	1,286	6,193	
0	0	P	51,288	1,944	0	0	0	106,122	1.150	1,907	
	0	0	157,760	32,912	0	0	0	133,399	75,774	37,476	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a	
				TRANSLATED FOSSES	PDFOSSES						
		Indemnity					Medical				
Death	i-a	Maior	Minor	Temp	Death	L d	Maio*	Minor	Temp	Med Only	
	c	0	129 708	13.709	C	0	0	35,442	17,385	16,954	
F (C)	į uo	378	(42) (24) (27)	22,341	0	9	839	1,210	77,794	6 732	
	0	0	100	o	0	0	0	0	0	4,015	
	0	1,105	1,445	2,893	0	12	466	759	1,383	6,012	
	249	48,789	42.610	8,273,	352	3.951	87.771	77,605	12,980	1,883	
	558	50,272	174,089	47,316	352	3,979	89.076	5,016	108,542	35,576	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					SERIOUS	NON-SER	MED ONLY	TOTAL			
		TOTAL TRANSLATED LOSSES	ATEDIOSSES		144 354	445,963	35,578				
		IRNE + FREC AD IIISTMENT	PHINTMENT		(178 569)	(127.374)	100				
		TOTAL LOSSES			0	318,589	35,678				
		EXPECTED LOS	OSSES		434,764	335,470	37 663				
					0.01	0 03	0.05				
		COLO.	AS TOTAL			4	7 7	0			
			(PKE-EEST)		0000	1.250	4 5	104,			
			(POST-TEST)		0000	1.326	0.148	474			
		PRES ON LOS	LOSS COST LEVEL		1,556	1 215	0 135	2.906			
		DERIVED BY FORMULA	FORMULA		1 540	1.218	0 136	2,894			
		UNDERLYING	UNDERLYING PRES, LOSS COST	TS	1.720	1,343	0 149	3 212			
		PROPOSED			1,540	1.218	0,136	2,894			
	(17)	YEAR		1-1-19	4-1-19	GNI	IND, LOSS COST =	3,356			
		IND. LOSS COST	<u></u>	8	3.36		H 6000	ţ			
	18	MAN.LOSS COST	TS	3.61	3.36	AD	ADJ LOSS COST =	3 36			

Manual Year 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 TOTAL 0 D

PENNSYLVANIA STATISTICAL STUDY

Exhibit 6
Sheet Metal Fabrication & Installation, N.O.C. - Separate Shop and Field Crews

Exhibit 7 Sheet Metal Fabrication & Installation, N.O.C. - Interchanging Shop and Field Crew

Manual Year		Premium ported	T - test va	lues
2011	0.643	3,260		
2012	0.004	1.601		
2013	0.008	0_087	2011 - 2015	0.1124
2014	0.619	0.238		
2015	0.042	3.418		

Manual Year		Claim Frequency per million		T - test va	lues
2011	0.155		0.566		
2012	0.000		0.372		
2013	0.000		0.000	2011 - 2015	0.0336
2014	0.260		0.400		
2015	0.000		0.421		

Manual		Claim Severity		_	
Year		Excl Med Only		T - test va	ilues
2011	41,060		51,550		
2012	0		39,484		
2013	0		0	2011 - 2015	0.2660
2014	21,519		2,862		
2015	0		80,252		